

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/091,730	03/06/2002	Robert De France	DELRI/109/US	9761	
2543	7590 03/04/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP			FIGUEROA, FELIX O		
750 MAIN S	TREET				
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HARTFORD, CT 06103			2833		

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ישט	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/091,730	DE FRANCE, ROBERT	
navious y nauen	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Felix O. Figueroa	2833	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
PLY FILED 16 January 2004 FAILS TO PL	ACE THIS APPLICATION IN	CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	

There	REPLY FILED 16 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDI- fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this applicant	ation. A proper reply to a
condit	ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment whic tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	in places the application in ly filed Request for Continued
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE 706.07(f).	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ten filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fact a calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the content of the fact and the content of the final reject patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	fee. The appropriate extension fee under ne final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the per 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal or	
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a)) \square they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);
(b)) L they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate issues for appeal; and/or	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d)) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
	NOTE:	
3.🖂	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Objections regarding cla	ims 2 and 3.
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a secanceling the non-allowable claim(s).	eparate, timely filed amendment
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been consideration in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	dered but does NOT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	to issues which were newly
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided belo	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed:	
	Claim(s) objected to:	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10.	Other:	
		RENEE LUEBKE
	$A.1 \cdot A.1.b$	PRIMARY EXAMINER

Idx0.18/2

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments in page 7, please note that the Final Office actions states that Reichman discloses "a second guide portion (at 34, facing 23) partially defining a receiving cavity". Additionally, it is noted that although Applicant argues that "Reichman does not define a receiving cavity configured...", the claim only requires that the second guide porition "partially defines" a receiving cavity.